UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,655	03/17/2004	Takao Inoue	50024-025	1697
7590 10/02/2007 McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			WILLS, MONIQUE M	
Washington, DC	C 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant C		10/801,655	INOUE ET AL.			
Οπισε	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Monique M. Wills	1745			
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b	ELONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE The provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Substitute from the mailing date of this communication.	ATE OF THIS COMMU 16(a). In no event, however, ma rill apply and will expire SIX (6) I cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1) Responsiv	re to communication(s) filed on <u>23 <i>Ju</i></u>	ly 2007.				
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Clai	ms					
4a) Of the 5)	 -12 is/are pending in the application. above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdraw is/are allowed. -12 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers						
10)⊠ The drawin Applicant m Replaceme	cation is objected to by the Examiner g(s) filed on <u>17 March 2004</u> is/are: a say not request that any objection to the contraction of the correction of the	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in abe on is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowled a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority documents ified copies of the priority documents ies of the certified copies of the priori ication from the International Bureau ched detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		_				
3) 🔀 Information Disclos	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ate 1000/05 & 3/17/04.	Paper f	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-12 in the reply filed on 7/23/07 is acknowledged.

Claims 13-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method of making a positive electrode, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 23, 2007.

Claim Objections

Claims 4 & 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Requiring magnesium to be electrochemically substituted for part of the lithium in the oxide does not further limit the lithium-manganese-oxide material of the independent claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,655

Art Unit: 1745

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 & 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peled et al. U.S. Pat. 5,591,543.

With respect to claim 1, Peled teaches a non-aqueous electrolyte secondary battery comprising: a negative electrode, positive electrode and a non-aqueous electrolyte, wherein the cathode contains an oxide containing magnesium substituted for part of lithium. The limitation with respect to the rock-salt structure is considered an inherent property of the prior art set forth, because the Peled teaches the identical compounds set forth by applicant. In accordance with MPEP 2112.01, " [p]roducts of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are

Art Unit: 1745

necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). In the instant case, the rock-salt structure is necessarily present because the lithium compounds are the same.

With respect to claims 2, 3, 8 & 9, the cathode compound is $\text{Li}_x\text{M}_{x/2}\text{CoO}_2$ where x is between 0.4 to 1 and M is magnesium. See the Abstract and col. 2, lines 29-40. With respect to claims 4,6,7 & 10, the magnesium electrochemically substitutes part of the lithium in the oxide. See the Abstract and col. 2, lines 29-40.

Therefore, the instant claims are anticipated by Peled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6 & 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peled et al. U.S. Pat. 5,591,543 in view of Loch et al. U.S. Pat. 6,171,723.

Art Unit: 1745

Peled teaches an electrochemical cell as described in the rejection recited hereinabove. Peled teaches dimethyl carbonate and ethylene carbonate electrolyte solvents (col. 3, lines 45-55).

Peled does not each a non-aqueous electrolyte including an imide salt.

However, Loch teaches that it is well known in the art to employ imide salts in ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate electrolyte mixtures.

Peled and Loch are analogous art, because they are from the same field of endeavor namely, fabricating lithium secondary cells with carbonate electrolyte solvents. See Example 19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to employ the imide salt of Loch, in the electrolyte of Peled, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the same intended purpose. In re Leshin, 125 USPW 416.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number Application/Control Number: 10/801,655

Art Unit: 1745

is (571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday

from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, may be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private

PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov.Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

MW

9/28/07

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6